



ANAEROBIC DIGESTION OF BROWN WATER IN BATCH REACTOR

Carlos Francisco Moreno Cruz, Oscar Monroy Hermosillo, Florina Ramírez Vives; Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, Departamento de Biotecnología, Av. San Rafael Atlixco, 186, México D.F. C.P. 09340., Tel 58044723. carlosmoreno59666@yahoo.com.mx

Keywords: Brown water (BW), Chemical oxygen demand (COD), Volatile solids (SV).

Introduction. Separation of domestic effluents is a viable alternative for improving wastewater treatment systems. Main benefits are reduction of operating costs and water consumption. In order to reduce the water consumption per flush, vacuum toilets are recommended that spend 1 to 1.5 L/flush and waterless urinals. As a result, water volume per flush sewage is obtained with an organic matter concentration of 9.8 g/L of COD (2). Therefore, the reduction of water volume improves the anaerobic treatments and is an option for on-site treatment. Also, energy and nutrients can be recovered and little space is required (3). The aim of this work is characterize determinate and methane production obtained from anaerobic digestion of BW.

Methods. Samples of feces without urine were diluted in 1.5 L of distilled water, for simulating the vacuum toilet flush. The TS, VS, COD_t, COD_s were determined for characterizing the BW (1). Granular sludge (45 g/L of VS) from a water treatment plant of food industry was used for determining the potential Biochemical methane (BMP). Assays were conducted at 35 °C, pH 6.89 and conductivity of 365.7 µs/cm. Also, a ratio of 4 g COD/L of BW by 4 g/L of VS of granular sludge was used. Biogas composition was determined using a gas chromatograph (Gow-Mac Serie 580). Methane production was monitored in ANKOM^{RF} bottles with an operation volume of 150 mL.

Results. Two samples of feces were characterized with 27.84 ± 5.16 g COD_t/L and a relation of VS/TS of 85 % (Table 1). Also, the samples contained 9.13 ± 2.64 g COD/L of soluble material. Methane production was observed when the granular sludge was used as inoculum, both in the BW1 and BW2 samples, as compared to the control without inoculum (Fig. 1). As a result, a maximum value of 0.1 L of CH₄/gVS_{feed} was attained. Our results were lower than that reported in the literature (4). At 12 culture days, a conductivity of 1302 µS/cm and pH of 6.48 were observed. These results indicate a solubilization of organic compounds.

However, it is necessary to determine volatile fatty acids. Our findings suggest that the inoculum improve the anaerobic digestion of BW.

| | Parameter | | | | Concentration | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----|--|---|--------------------|---|---|----|------|------|--|
| | | | | | (g/L) | | | | | | |
| | pН | | | | 6.31±0.35 | | | | | | |
| | Conductivity | | | | 1886.5 ± 386.5 | | | | | | |
| | CODt | | | | 27.84 ± 5.16 | | | | | | |
| | CODs | | | | 9.13 ± 2.64 | | | | | | |
| | VS | | | | 13.64 ± 4.88 | | | | | | |
| | TS | | | 1 | 15.96 ± 5.85 | | | | | | |
| | VS/TS (| (%) | | 8 | 5.4 | | | | | | |
| 0.12 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0.10 | | | | | | | | _ | | • | |
| 80.0 60.0 CCH4/B AS | | | | | | | ŧ | • | • | | |
| ₩ 0.06 | | | | | | • | | | | | |
| <u>ㅋ</u> 0.04 | • • • | | | | | | | | ◆ BW | | |
| 0.04 | *** | | | | ■ BW2 ▲ Control | | | | | | |
| 0.02 | | | | | | | | | Con | trol | |
| 0.00 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 2 | 4 | | 6 | | 8 | | 10 | | 12 | |
| Time (d) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fig.1 Methane production. | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 1. Characterization of the brown water.

Conclusion. The methane production and solubilization of BW were increased by using granular sludge as inoculum. Our work contributes to understand and improve the separation systems and concentrated effluents treatment.

Acknowledgements. Authors acknowledge the financial support from ICyTDF (Science and Technology Institute of Mexico City) and CONACYT (The National Council for Science and Technology)

References.

1. APHA, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 21st ed., American Public Health Association (APHA/AWWA/WEF), Washington, DC, 2005.

2. de Graaff M.S, Zeeman G, Temmink H, Buisman C.J.N. (2010a). Anaerobic treatment of concentrated black water in a UASB reactor at a short HRT. Water, 2 (1): 101-119.

3. Luostarinen S, Sanders W, Kujawa-Roeleveld K, Zeeman G. (2007). Effect of temperature on anaerobic treatment of black water in UASB-septic tank systems. Bioresour. Technol., 98: 980-986.

4. Rajagopal R, Lim W, Chen C.L, Wang J.Y. (2013). Anaerobic co-digestion of source segregated Brown wáter (feces-without-urine) and food waste: For singapore context *Sci. Total Environ.* 443: 877-886.