



## PHOU AND PHOSPHATE CONTROL IN STREPTOMYCES COELICOLOR

Martín-Martín S<sup>1</sup>, Santos-Beneit F<sup>1</sup>, Franco-Domínguez E<sup>1</sup>, Sola-Landa A<sup>1</sup>, Rodríguez-García A<sup>1,2</sup> and <u>Martín JF<sup>2</sup></u>. <sup>1</sup>Instituto de Biotecnología de León, INBIOTEC, Avda Real 1, 24006 León (Spain); <sup>2</sup>Area de Microbiología, Departamento de Biología Molecular, Universidad de León, Campus de Vegazana s/n, 24071 León (Spain); jf.martin@unileon.es

Key words: phosphate, phoU and Streptomyces

Introduction. Streptomyces coelicolor is a Gram-positive soil bacterium and it must be able to adapt to changes of the environment like nutrient starvation. Inorganic phosphate (Pi) is one of the main nutrients limited in soil. Pi controls the biosynthesis of many classes of secondary metabolites; most of them are regulated negatively by high Pi concentration in the media (1). Bacterial two-component systems allow microorganisms to overcome rapid environmental changes (1). As in other bacteria, the two-component PhoR-PhoP system responds to Pi limitation in PhoP Streptomyces (1). is directly responsible for activation or repression of the Pho regulon genes, including phoU, which is unknown whether takes part or not in the signal transduction cascade, as in other bacteria (1). PhoU was first defined in Escherichia coli and it seems to be essential for Pho regulon repression at high Pi conditions (2). In Streptomyces, the function of PhoU has not been determined.

Therefore, the main goal of this study is to determine the effect of PhoU in activation or repression of the *S. coelicolor* Pho system.

**Methods.** Vector pHZphoU (this study) carrying the kanamycin resistance cassette was used to interrupt phoU. Plasmid pLUXglpQ1 (3), carrying the luxAB genes from Vibrio harveyi fused to S. coelicolor glpQ1 promoter, was introduced by conjugation in S. coelicolor M145 and phoU disrupted mutant strains. The respective exconjugants were cultured in MG medium with high (MG-18.5) and low (MG-3.2) Pi concentrations (4). Culture samples were analyzed at the level of growth, Pi consumption and glpQ1 promoter activity. Transcription of glpQ1 is totally dependent on PhoP activation (3), and therefore is used in this study as PhoP induction reporter.

**Results.** The growth of *phoU* disrupted mutant was not affected neither on Pi-limited (MG-3.2) nor Pi-replete (MG-18.5) conditions (data not shown). Moreover, *phoU* disrupted mutant behaved similarly to parental strain in

terms of Pho regulon induction under Pireplete conditions (Fig. 1A).

As shown in Fig 1B, glpQ1 promoter activity in both strains was induced under Pilimitation (*i.e.* in MG-3.2 Pi was starved before 42 hours of culture) although glpQ1promoter activity was significantly higher in the mutant. Actually, luciferase values were more than double at 42 and 68 h of culture.



**Fig.1** *glpQ1* promoter activity of *S. coelicolor* M145 (white bars) and *phoU* disrupted mutant (black bars) in MG-18.5 (A) and MG-3.2 (B). Error bars correspond to the mean of 4 cultures replicates.

**Conclusions.** In *S. coelicolor*, similar to *E. coli*, PhoU seems to be a negative modulator of Pho activation (2). However, contrary to *E. coli*, disruption of *phoU* does not produce a constitutive activation of the *S. coelicolor* Pho system. The strong PhoU effect under Pilimitation conditions matches with the PhoP-dependent expression profile of *phoU* in *S. coelicolor* (1). In summary, PhoU is activated by PhoP in Pi-limited conditions and PhoU, in turn, seems to repress PhoP activation; thus preventing this system to be out of control.

Acknowledgements. This job was supported by grant BIO2010-16094 from the Spanish government.

## References.

1. Martín J, Santos-Beneit F, Rodríguez-García A, Sola-Landa A, Smith M, Ellingsen T, Nieselt K, Burroughs N and Wellington E. (2012). *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 95:61-75.

- 2. Hsieh Y and Wanner B. (2010). *Curr Opin Microbiol* 13:198-203.
- 3. Santos-Beneit F, Rodríguez-García A, Apel A and Martín J. (2009). *Microbiology* 155:1800-1811.

4. Santos-Beneit F, Rodríguez-García A, Franco-Domínguez E and Martín J. (2008). *Microbiology* 154:2356-2370.